

DDPR_feedback_0436s	
Name	Tim Blackler
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 31 22 02:24:59 pm
Notes	Timbl
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Energy
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Agree
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	ENG P5
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	New subdivision consents need to include concentration of blackwater into a chamber for methane use prior to its entry into main sewer OR council may have opportunity to assess viability of methan capture town wide. Concentration of blackwater makes such a proposition much more viable though.
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	ENG P6
Q6	Feedback/Comments
	New subdivision consents need to include concentration of blackwater into a chamber for methane use prior to its entry into main sewer OR council may have opportunity to assess viability of methan capture town wide. Concentration of blackwater makes such a proposition much more viable though.
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here

DDPR_feedback_0437s	
Name	Tim Blackler
Organisation	
Email	██████████
Response Date	Aug 31 22 02:26:43 pm
Notes	Timbl
Q 1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Infrastructure
Q 2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
Q 3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 4	Feedback/Comments
Q 5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 6	Feedback/Comments
Q 7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 8	Feedback/Comments
Q 9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 10	Feedback/Comments
Q 11	supporting documents?
	0
Q 12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here
	Consider that removal of RLZ and replacement with advanced Residential Zoning rather than MRZ will result in better justification for infrastructure upgrades and investment.

DDPR_feedback_0438s		
	Name	Tim Blackler
	Organisation	
	Email	██████████
	Response Date	Aug 31 22 02:29:46 pm
	Notes	Timbl
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Natural Hazards	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	NHP5	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	A clear link needs to be established between this and SNAs specifically ECOR5. The way we use our landscape directly affects its response during heavy rain events. Clearances of vegetation, working on the land on steeper gradients to remove humps and hollows, pasture and grazing management and irrigation resulting in less buffer for surface water to recharge before reaching saturation all have a direct impact.	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	

DDPR_feedback_0439s	
Name	Tim Blackler
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 31 22 02:32:33 pm
Notes	Timbl
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Historic Heritage
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	HHP10
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	3. g is important and should be emphasized. However, we need to be careful it doesn't just become the 'go-to' to get a project over the line. Internal materials and design and layout are just as important in providing important aspects to our heritage.
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q6	Feedback/Comments
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here

DDPR_feedback_0441s	
Name	Tim Blackler
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 31 22 02:35:01 pm
Notes	Timbl
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Notable Trees
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Agree
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	Tree P6
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	This is critical that we monitor and enforce this. But also have an accurate record to start with. We also need to make sure that we put systems in place to avoid the most recent Timaru scenario with the redwoods where an out of town arborist consultant/contractor gave an answer that would allow them to be removed while all the local arborists would not.
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q6	Feedback/Comments
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here

DDPR_feedback_0442s		
	Name	Tim Blackler
	Organisation	
	Email	
	Response Date	Aug 31 22 02:37:04 pm
	Notes	Timbl
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Public Access	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	PA	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	We need to consider dog and horse friendly outcomes. This is a two way street and requires responsible use. Maybe even some rules around use after wet weather, specific guidelines etc. It would be great if A20 allowed dogs and horses.	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	

DDPR_feedback_0443s	
Name	Tim Blackler
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 31 22 02:39:35 pm
Notes	Timbl
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Agree
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	ECO R5
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	I support the protections afforded to the environment here BUT for land users who are trying to run a business they need very clear and cost effective signals when trying to achieve somethings. They need quick and low cost assessments to look at the viability of entering into a formal application to achieve something they have in mind in order not to take up council time, resources and the land users money.
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q6	Feedback/Comments
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here

DDPR_feedback_0444s		
	Name	Tim Blackler
	Organisation	
	Email	
	Response Date	Aug 31 22 02:41:10 pm
	Notes	Timbl
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Natural Features and Landscapes	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	NFL 01	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	Important but there must be quick and cheaps tests that land users can apply to check viability of going through the formal process providing them with an indicative ruling.	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	

DDPR_feedback_0445s		
	Name	Tim Blackler
	Organisation	
	Email	
	Response Date	Aug 31 22 02:42:37 pm
	Notes	Timbl
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Agree	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	SASM P7	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	Support in principle for the benefit of all	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	SASM P5	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
	Support in principle for the benefit of all	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	SASM P4	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
	Support in principle for the benefit of all	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	SASM P1	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
	Support in principle for the benefit of all	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	

DDPR_feedback_0446s	
Name	Tim Blackler
Organisation	
Email	██████████
Response Date	Aug 31 22 02:49:58 pm
Notes	Timbl
Q 1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Subdivision
Q 2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
Q 3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 4	Feedback/Comments
Q 5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 6	Feedback/Comments
Q 7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 8	Feedback/Comments
Q 9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 10	Feedback/Comments
Q 11	supporting documents?
	0
Q 12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here
	Increase Rural Scenic to 80ha. Increase Rural General to 40ha.

Make these operative immediately so we don't get a rush of 4ha subdivisions OR actually apply the instruments we have for protection of productive and high class soils and stop 4ha carve outs that way. Get rid of RLZ altogether and allow residential to grow by a commensurate amount. It soaks up a lot of land for not much gain and as we've seen on Tutu Hill road fails to provide justification for investment in infrastructure. This way we don't need to cram people into tiny little sections and people can still have 300-1000m lots
Medium Density lots of 150m² - you can't be serious in the context of Oamaru?

DDPR_feedback_0448s	
Name	Tim Blackler
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 31 22 02:56:59 pm
Notes	Timbl
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Zoning
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Oppose
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	MRZ S1
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	150m2 - really? This seems ridiculous in the context of Oamaru. NPS-UD provides no such requirement for us to respond in this manner.
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	S4
Q6	Feedback/Comments
	Single dwelling 12m high and provisions for multi dwelling 15m under certain conditions across a blanket area of town (per zoning overlay) is not right. Firstly a 12m single occupier does not help with housing affordability but just soaks up resources on large houses. 12-15m on the current overlay is not appropriate for Oamaru and is not required under NPS-UD. We could make some small very specific provisions for some precise locations that would provide Medium Density but in a way that others aren't impacted but nowhere near the scale being proposed. Les RLZ substitutes with more General Residential which allows for more housing but removes most MRZ.
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here

DDPR_feedback_0449s	
Name	Tim Blackler
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 31 22 02:59:22 pm
Notes	Timbl
Q 1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Special Purpose Zone - Lakes
Q 2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
Q 3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 4	Feedback/Comments
Q 5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 6	Feedback/Comments
Q 7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 8	Feedback/Comments
Q 9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 10	Feedback/Comments
Q 11	supporting documents?
	0
Q 12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here

<p>It's important this area does not turn into another Wanaka or Queenstown. We have an opportunity to provided experience to the public in a different way build in values recognised in natural landscape and amenity of wide open space.</p>

DDPR_feedback_0450s	
Name	Tim Blackler
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 31 22 03:05:43 pm
Notes	Timbl
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	General Residential Zone
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	GRZ01
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	Focus is entirely on intensification of housing. We need to preserve a certain percentage of sites within a block that are retained as larger sections. For clarity we need a cross section of options from 300m2 right through to 1000m2 in the same area. Otherwise overtime the natural progression is for someone to purchase and develop to the highest density possible. We have an opportunity to do things differently and intersperse individuals looking for specific living requirements in amongst each other so we don't get a congregation of one demographic in one area over time.
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q6	Feedback/Comments
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here