

DDPR_feedback_0029s	
Name	Sandra Winder
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Jul 18 22
Notes	
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: Schedule 2
Q4	Feedback/Comments I would formally like to apply to have "Puketiro" placed on Waitaki's list of heritage buildings
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q6	Feedback/Comments I have read the heritage sections of the district plan review and would like further information about notifying a house of character and maybe listing it as an historic building. We live in Puketiro, 7b Avon Street, in an old villa built in 1868. It was one of the first grand houses built on the hill and at that time had amazing views over the town, sea and mountains. It was built for Thomas Proctor who later became mayor (1881-1884). The villa still has great "mana" but alas, the land has been subdivided so many times and is now virtually surrounded by newer houses. Puketiro does, however, still stand out on the hill, as it did in the days when the lithograph by W.Potts was created. The interior dimensions of the house are very little changed and we have spent 4 years carefully renovating and conserving. I have spent considerable time researching the Proctor (Procter) family. Yesterday we dismantled a wardrobe in the main bedroom and discovered the original wallpaper together with an old Christmas card addressed to Maude, who was one of the daughters born in the house in 1872. Its a beautiful home which, we feel, deserves recognition as part of Oamaru's history.
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents? 0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here
From: Sandra Winder Sent: Monday, 18 July 2022 2:03 PM To: Heather Bauchop Subject: Photos 3 [THIS EMAIL IS FROM AN EXTERNAL SOURCE] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognise the sender email address and know the content is safe. [cid:122071812034802302@au-mta-85.au.mimecast.lan] Hi Heather	

I hope these are better. I would formally like to apply to have "Puketiro" placed on Waitaki's list of heritage buildings in it's district plan. Looking forward to meeting you again tomorrow. Regards Sandra
--

DDPR_feedback_0304s	
Name	Sandra Winder
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 15 22 04:26:14 pm
Notes	bulathecat
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Historic Heritage
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Agree
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard
	HH(ÖHA)-P7 and HH-P10
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	Just concerned that the onus is on the owner to register their property and could therefore demolish a building which could be listed but the owner has chosen not too.
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard
	HH-P1
Q6	Feedback/Comments
	How proactive can the council be in identifying privately owned buildings of significant character? What incentives can the council give to these property owners to register their buildings rather than choosing to alter or demolish
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard
	HH-P12
Q8	Feedback/Comments
	I think this is really important. The surrounding area of a heritage building is just as important as the building itself. The listed building could be ruined by a neighbour.
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard
	HH-P3
Q10	Feedback/Comments
	I think its very important to be flexible about the use of heritage buildings. keeping the façade and changing the interior maybe necessary rather than letting the whole unused building decay. The council needs to be proactive and offer incentives to fix past mistakes eg could the squash club be encouraged to move to the new rec ground and their building on Tyne be reverted back into its past glory?
Q11	Supporting documents?
Q12	If you need more space, or have other general comments, please leave them here

DDPR_feedback_0305s	
Name	Sandra Winder
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 15 22 04:41:44 pm
Notes	bulathecat
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Subdivision
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Agree
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	SUB-S6
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	Should the council be planning for electric cars and ensuring that all new builds have the facility to charge electric vehicles at home?
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	SUB-P13
Q6	Feedback/Comments
	I am concerned about the amount of land the house, driveway and paved outside area takes up. I read somewhere that there is a maximum percentage for the house itself but if the long drive way , for example , of a rear subdivision is taken as part of this percentage then a very large area becomes covered in concrete. There seems to be no minimum requirements for garden, vegetation, trees, hedge, shrubs etc. The amount of bare land in a subdivision can become zero, as in a subdivision at the brow of Wharfe. This will increase run off and seriously limit the opportunities for bird and insect life.
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here

DDPR_feedback_0306s	
Name	Sandra Winder
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 15 22 05:11:01 pm
Notes	bulathecat
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Medium Density Residential Zone
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	MRZ-S2 and MRZ-S3
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	This is a good change. Must ensure that where there is a long driveway that this is not taken into the percentage otherwise could end up with a large building covering the whole site with just a concrete driveway as the 50% not built on as seems to be the case now eg brow of Wharfe street.
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	MRZ-S4
Q6	Feedback/Comments
	Oamaru is not a tier 1 or 2 town and therefore does not need to increase the height level. 12m is too high, the current 8m allows for two story buildings and is sufficient to increase density., if this is required. The adoption of this 12m rule would lead to blight within the medium density zone which also has many character homes situated in it. The very thought of a what is now a perfectly lovely home allowing views over for the neighbours could potentially be knocked down and developers building a 12m multi occupied residential building will have distraught neighbours falling out with each other and suffering mental health issues. High density zones need to be planned for , not imposed on what is now a low density area. The council should have planned for high density in areas currently being developed liked Holmes Hill. How many people actually live and work close to the shops and council buildings where jobs are? This 12m plan will seriously impact on the choice of Oamaru as a place to live.
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here

DDPR_feedback_0368s	
Name	Sandra Winder
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 29 22 05:30:29 pm
Notes	bulathecat
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Town Centre Zone
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	TCZ-O2 TCZ-O3 TCZ-P1
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	'A high quality built form' is quite ambiguous. I would like to see the council positively encouraging, through financial support and a collective vision which makes the shop owners want to create an authentic environment. In 2002 the Reefton 'Shop Front Project' achieved this through shared common aims and positive council intervention and leadership.
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	TCZ-P1
Q6	Feedback/Comments
	I believe the council should be positively encouraging through support to shop owners to develop their upstairs areas into residential apartments. This would reduce the need to develop in the medium density residential areas and fulfill the aims of the latter's proximity to the town centre and jobs.
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here

DDPR_feedback_0461s	
Name	Sandra Winder
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 31 22 06:12:46 pm
Notes	bulathecat
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Natural Character
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Strongly support
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	NFL-O1 NFL-O3 NFL-P3 NFL-P4 NFL-P6 NFL-P7 NFL-P8 NFL-P10
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	These objectives and policies do not support the Forrester Heights development in any way.
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q6	Feedback/Comments
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here
	These objectives and policies are exactly what the council should be doing but are already ignoring with developing Forester Heights