| DDPR | _feedback_0147s | | | | |
|------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Name | Noel Joyce | | | |
| | Organisation | | | | |
| | Email | | | | |
| | Response Date | Aug 29 22 | | | |
| | Notes | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Q1 | Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on | | | | |
| | Subdivision | | | | |
| Q2 | In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter? | | | | |
| O2 | Objective /Deliev /Dule /Ctondend reference | | | | |
| Q3 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | | | | |
| Q4 | Feedback/Comments | | | | |
| Q4 | reeuback/ confinients | | | | |
| | Hello, I apologize in advance for emailing my submission but simply found the plan review site too difficult to navigate. I firstly would like to bring up new subdivisions and am very much in favor of infill and town expanding in uniform in certain directions. Namely the roughly square area between Weston road, Weston, Homestead road | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Sale yards road. Very roughly 400 Hectares. | | | |
| | This area has I believe no economic unit farms, I am one of the largest land | | | | |
| | holders at 20 Hectares and at .5 of a labor unit hardly earn enough to pay rates and insurance . So believe this area is the perfect expansion zone . As | | | | |
| | mentioned 400 Hectares or 1000 acres could have 1000 one acre blocks which would be extremely wasteful, more like 2500 1/4 acre sections or 1000m2 sections, which would cover expansion plans for many years and all only 5 minutes or less from the CBD, closer then most of the North end of Oamaru. So in summary, The current 10000m2 minimum size for subdivision is far too big and wasteful, cut | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | this to town section size. Secon | dly on the proposed 20 hectare minimum | | | |
| | subdivision size on rural land, I oppose it in general as 20 ha is not considered an economic unit anyway. It may be too big a step for say a market gardener to expand from say 20 ha to another 20ha next door when say another 4ha block is available. There is few if any 20ha plus market gardens that actually | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | have more than 4ha parcels of good land and this is why the market gardeners have small blocks all over the place. My block for example of 32ha on the corner of Thousand Acre road and Seadown road has vegetables only on 4ha and | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | ks of intensive vegetable growing is on 4ha or and Kakanui , little pockets of good soil . So in | | | |
| | | is going to promote more intensive horticulture | | | |
| | | rs buy or lease the prime sites , not | | | |
| | 9 | per blocks would contain. Noel Joyce Seadown | | | |
| | Road Kakanui | - | | | |
| Q5 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard | reference: | | | |
| Q6 | Feedback/Comments | | | | |
| 70 | 1 COMPAGN COMMINGING | | | | |
| 07 | Obligation /D III /D II /OI II II | | | | |
| Q7 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard | rererence: | | | |
| Q8 | Feedback/Comments | | | | |

| Q9 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| Q10 | Feedback/Comments | | |
| | | | |
| Q11 | supporting documents? | | |
| | 0 | | |
| Q12 | If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| DDPR | ?_feedback_0369s | | |
|------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | Name | Noel Joyce | |
| | Organisation | | |
| | Email | | |
| | Response Date | Aug 29 22 08:38:42 pm | |
| | Notes | Noel | |
| | | | |
| Q1 | Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on | | |
| | Coastal Environment | | |
| Q2 | In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter? | | |
| | Strongly oppose | | |
| Q3 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | | |
| | | | |
| Q4 | Feedback/Comments | | |
| | For this block of land, Part lot 2 DP 2400 the coastal overlay is to far inland, and is past the brow of the first rise. | | |
| Q5 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | | |
| | | | |
| Q6 | Feedback/Comments | | |
| | | | |
| Q7 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | | |
| | | | |
| Q8 | Feedback/Comments | | |
| | | | |
| Q9 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | | |
| | | | |
| Q10 | Feedback/Comments | | |
| | | | |
| Q11 | supporting documents? | | |
| | 0 | | |
| Q12 | If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | | | |

| DDPR | _feedback_0370s | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Name | Noel Joyce | | |
| | Organisation | | | |
| | Email | | | |
| | Response Date | Aug 29 22 08:49:30 pm | | |
| | Notes | Noel | | |
| - 1 | | | | |
| Q1 Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on Coastal Environment | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Q2 | Q2 In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter? | | | |
| | Strongly oppose | | | |
| Q3 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | | | |
| | | | | |
| Q4 | Feedback/Comments | | | |
| Q5 | Section 59 Block v1 Oamaru SD, the coastal Environment overlay is in the main up to several hundred meters in places too far inland past the first hill Brow or ridge line and as such too restrictive for some far developments. Generally in favor just needs to be looked at and changed to correct line, as shown in proposed district plan is generally not in line with the Regional council or GNS tsunami zone. Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | | | |
| Q6 | Feedback/Comments | | | |
| 20 | reedback/ comments | | | |
| Q7 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | | | |
| Q8 | Feedback/Comments | | | |
| | | | | |
| Q9 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Sta | andard reference: | | |
| Q10 | Feedback/Comments | | | |
| Q11 | supporting documents? | | | |
| 012 | | or have any other general comments, places leave them here | | |
| Q12 | ii you need more space, d | r have any other general comments, please leave them here | | |
| | | | | |