

DDPR_feedback_0465s	
Name	Mark Renalson
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 31 22 09:38:15 pm
Notes	mainstreetm
Q 1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Open Space Zone
Q 2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Strongly oppose
Q 3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	Open Space Zone- Allowing any type of permanent building within these spaces, this includes OSZ R9,R10, R11 discretionary R12, R13, R14, R15,R16 and R17.
Q 4	Feedback/Comments
	This District Plan earlier applauds the green space that is designated reserve, protected by the current DP from being anything other than a reserve, a space for light recreational activity, yet selecting some of that space as Open Space Zone to allow permanent buildings to be established. I am strongly objecting to the Open Space Zone being available for any type of permanent building, however, small pockets of furniture like seating or children's playground equipment are acceptable.
Q 5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 6	Feedback/Comments
Q 7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 8	Feedback/Comments
Q 9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 10	Feedback/Comments
Q 11	supporting documents?
	0
Q 12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here

--	--

DDPR_feedback_0467s	
Name	Mark Renalson
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 31 22 10:09:13 pm
Notes	mainstreetm
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	General Residential Zone
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Strongly oppose
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard
	GRZ S4
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	I strongly object to allowing buildings up to 8m in height in the general residential zone. There is not enough protection in the set back allowances to prevent tall buildings from shading existing residential dwellings or blocking views. There should be some entitlement to privacy which this provision does not allow. The matters of discretion are subject to personal views and interpretation by the controlling authority
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard
	GRZ S5
Q6	Feedback/Comments
	There is not enough protection for existing dwellings that are at risk of shading, having privacy, and having views blocked. The discretion is at the mercy of the controlling authority and personal views within.
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard
	GZR S6
Q8	Feedback/Comments
	The minimum set back along internal side boundaries offers no protection to existing dwellings. There is a high potential to be shaded, lose privacy and have views blocked with this proposed plan description and rules. The matters of discretion are at the mercy of the local authority and any personal views held by persons making these decisions.
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard
	GRZ S9
Q10	Feedback/Comments
	3, Suggest adding a distance from an arterial road along a side road where a fence can be solid at a height of 2m for protection of noise/sound from that road. For example 60 metres along a side road in a General residential zone.
Q11	Supporting documents?
Q12	If you need more space, or have other general comments, please leave them here
	GRZ S10-Agree with this proposal to limit storage on properties. There is a potential for neighbours at war to escalate, how will this Plan rule be backed up to allow for the Local authority to enforce the rule without excessive cost and staff time?