

DDPR_feedback_0363s	
Name	james hurst
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 29 22 12:55:06 pm
Notes	Invernia
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Strongly oppose
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	SASM
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	Makes present farming unworkable in the future
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	Outstanding natural features (ONF)
Q6	Feedback/Comments
	the areas marked are not outstanding and will impede farming practice's
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here
	we fully support the Federated farmers submission

DDPR_feedback_0415s		
	Name	james hurst
	Organisation	
	Email	██████████
	Response Date	Aug 31 22 12:13:08 pm
	Notes	Invernia
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Natural Features and Landscapes	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Strongly oppose	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	outstanding natural feature	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	we would like to see the Outstanding natural feature Ref: ONF053 follow the existing paddock/river boundary.	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	

DDPR_feedback_0416s	
Name	james hurst
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 31 22 12:43:32 pm
Notes	Invernia
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Strongly oppose
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	SASM
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	RE SASM018 . We would like to see the size reduced to an area around the existing cemetery. We have a development project under way in this area to comply with proposed ECAN water right renewal with the Lower Waitaki irrigation company. this proposed SASM would impede this development. resolving in us being uncompliant in over areas of government bureaucracy. We have been in contact with local Iwi they were okay with our proposed development.
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	SASM
Q6	Feedback/Comments
	Re SASM007. We would like to see this follow the existing river/paddock boundary similar to our recommended change to the ONF053.
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	SNA
Q8	Feedback/Comments
	Re SNA117 & SNA119. we were planning on planting this gully in pine trees to offset our future Carbon emissions given that pines currently earn 2-3 times more credits than indigenous forest under the ETS
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here