

DDPR_feedback_0373s		
	Name	Ian Carter
	Organisation	Kevmarc Farms
	Email	[REDACTED]
	Response Date	Aug 29 22 09:20:40 pm
	Notes	Ian C
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Strongly oppose	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	I oppose the designation of large areas of land to wahi tupuna.	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	My property assessment 110961 has almost completely been identified as wahi tupuna. This land has been farmed by Europeans for a significant time and once had the Moeraki Station homestead on. There has been no maori interest in the land. I am not aware of any significant areas on the farm for maori and there certainly has not been any identified to my knowledge. To know decide to grant maori a level of control on something that they have not shown any interest in previously is unjust and as the land owner I strongly oppose.	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	

DDPR_feedback_0376s	
Name	Ian Carter
Organisation	Kevmarc Farms
Email	[REDACTED]
Response Date	Aug 29 22 10:02:46 pm
Notes	Ian C
Q 1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	General Rural Zone
Q 2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Neutral
Q 3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	GRUZ-R7 The restriction of floor area of minor dwelling?? These houses are built in general for staff. To limit the size to 80m3 makes it very challenging to attract families to consider the jobs. Families can also provide children for rural schools.
Q 4	Feedback/Comments
	There appears little logic to restrict the dwelling size. In general the land owner wont build a dwelling larger than needed to attract the standard of staff member required. This is not always a single person.
Q 5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	GRUZ-R10. intensive pigs. Where is the science to support the buffer distances 500 meters to boundary?, 2 km to residential. These are not consistent with of regions district plans which have larger numbers of piggeries than Waitaki.i
Q 6	Feedback/Comments
	There needs to be more appropriate buffer distance and some wording around conditions on reverse sensitivity for any activity within the set buffer zones. Reverse sensitivity needs to be acknowledged within all GRUZ areas to manage the rural economic value for the region.
Q 7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 8	Feedback/Comments
Q 9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 10	Feedback/Comments
Q 11	supporting documents?

	0
Q 1 2	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here

DDPR_feedback_0378s	
Name	Ian Carter
Organisation	Kevmarc Farms
Email	[REDACTED]
Response Date	Aug 29 22 10:21:56 pm
Notes	Ian C
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Subdivision
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Oppose
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	SUB-P16
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	Although I understand the intent for the minimum 20 hectares this creates an issue where farms need to grow to maintain an economic unit. I large proportion of the districts farms have purchased the neighboring land to meet economic scale. This often does not require the need for further labour and the value of the 2nd house is unjustified or makes the land purchase unachievable. The need for the farmer to subdivide and sell of this house is critical to the acquisition of the bare land for an economic requirement. The 20 hectare requirement only compromises the ability for our rural land owners to stay financially viable in the future. The controls on unwanted urban activity in rural zoning needs to be managed through future building consents and these subdivisions.
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q6	Feedback/Comments
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here