

DDPR_feedback_0366s	
Name	Shane King
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 29 22 02:32:40 pm
Notes	Parkside Quarries
Q 1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Natural Features and Landscapes
Q 2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Strongly oppose
Q 3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	Significant Natural Features, Sites and Areas of Significance to Moari and Outstanding natural features as identified on our property at 151 Airedale Road Weston.
Q 4	Feedback/Comments
	<p>We strongly oppose the colored in zones you have recently given us indicating the above zones on our property. Since 1904 our property has been involved with quarrying activity of some sorts, currently managed by fifth generation and fully intending to have another 5 + generations continuing on in the same tradition. The areas identified contain limestone which i imagine future generations of my family will quarry at some-stage, you have essentially taken out a significant area/volume of stone which cant be quarried and this will have financial implications on our business.</p> <p>We are operating under "existing use rights" which was recently challenged by council staff. Findings clearly showed as-long as we continue on in similar fashion to what has been done in the past (i.e quarrying volumes maintained) we are entitled to continue on. (Obviously putting all quarrying and H&amp;S governing rules and regulations to side, which are a given.)</p> <p>I'm guessing the areas identified would contain many years of stone production for us, hence multi millions of dollars of future revenue. For 130 odd years this private property has been owned by our family, with quarrying being the back bone of the business. Its unfathomable for me to believe in New Zealand we are now being told the goal posts have changed and you can no longer do what we have lawfully been doing for 118 of those years on our own land!</p> <p>Each pen scribble on your plan has the potential impact of costing us millions over our future generations, so we cant accept your proposed mapping in current form.</p> <p>To not have the decency to talk to us and have site visit showing exactly these identified features is on the nose.</p>
Q 5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 6	Feedback/Comments
Q 7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 8	Feedback/Comments

Q 9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 10	Feedback/Comments
Q 11	supporting documents?
	0
Q 12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here