

| | | |
|---------------------|--|------------------|
| DDPR_feedback_0041s | | |
| | Name | Phillip Nicolson |
| | Organisation | |
| | Email | [REDACTED] |
| | Response Date | Aug 25 22 |
| | Notes | |
| | | |
| Q1 | Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on | |
| | Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori | |
| Q2 | In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter? | |
| | Strongly opposed | |
| Q3 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | | |
| Q4 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | <p>[THIS EMAIL IS FROM AN EXTERNAL SOURCE] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognise the sender email address and know the content is safe. [cid:122082519083600319@au-mta-21.au.mimecast.lan] I am very strongly opposed to the proposed changes in WDC District Plan regarding Significant Natural Areas- in particular the control proposed to give Ngai Tahu over land use on our freehold titles. The areas identified on map overlays are much larger than is reasonable or sensible and have been mapped without consultation with us. I believe existing regulations in the current district plan already meet the responsibility of WDC to support Maori heritage. I would be supportive of identifying particular features and sites and discussing some extra protection of these- I am thinking 10-12 Ha not the proposed 280Ha Regards Phillip Nicolson Hampden</p> | |
| Q5 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | | |
| Q6 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | | |
| Q7 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | | |
| Q8 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | | |
| Q9 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | | |
| Q10 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | | |
| Q11 | supporting documents? | |
| | 0 | |
| Q12 | If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here | |
| | | |