

DDPR_feedback_0162s	
Name	Judy Kingan
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 28 22
Notes	
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	<p>] I wish to submit on the draft district plan. I believe ECO-P5 should be removed as it is too broad and the most important areas have already been covered with the ONA and SNA. Leaving this in would leave any area of our farm open to the subjectiveness of planners opinions in the future. In the same way I believe ECO-S4 should also be removed. The overlays need to be more accurate so as not to cross property lines. Once an area has been identified in a district plan, it leaves land owners vulnerable to directives from central government which may impose more rigorous rules than anticipated by local government. A large area of our farm is designated rural scenic due to a no exit road being in the vicinity. We may be able to continue farming this area as existing use but there is no guarantee this will continue to be the case. We already have issues with people not concerned with private property rights and wandering over the land at will due to these designations. Regards Judy Kingan</p>
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q6	Feedback/Comments
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here