

| | | |
|---------------------|--|--------------------------|
| DDPR_feedback_0258s | | |
| | Name | Nick Blampied |
| | Organisation | Te Pari |
| | Email | nick.blampied@tepari.com |
| | Response Date | Jul 12 22 06:47:22 pm |
| | Notes | Nick Blampied |
| | | |
| Q1 | Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on | |
| | General Industrial Zone | |
| Q2 | In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter? | |
| | Neutral | |
| Q3 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | Hours of Work | |
| Q4 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | Why does the council have to limit the hours of work? Night shift is a great way to increase productivity, why is this no allowed in a general industrial area. | |
| Q5 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | Remove any limits on working hours | |
| Q6 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | | |
| Q7 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | | |
| Q8 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | | |
| Q9 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | | |
| Q10 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | | |
| Q11 | supporting documents? | |
| | 0 | |
| Q12 | If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here | |
| | | |

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| DDPR_feedback_0337s | |
| Name | Nick Blampied |
| Organisation | Te Pari |
| Email | nick.blampied@tepari.com |
| Response Date | Aug 24 22 08:16:04 pm |
| Notes | Nick Blampied |
| Q1 | Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on |
| | Subdivision |
| Q2 | In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter? |
| | Neutral |
| Q3 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard |
| | rural lifestyle lot size |
| Q4 | Feedback/Comments |
| | '1 hectare lot size is too large for the following reasons - 1 ha is not big enough for any number of animals and facilities for them ie. yards - sewerage systems are greatly improved over the years and only need a small septic disposal field - 1 ha is too big to mow and landscape - 1ha is a waste of prime land when there is good views and building platforms - our suggestion is rural lifestyle should be 5000 square metres, and only require a 35m road frontage. |
| Q5 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard |
| | rural lifestyle zoned land currently east of Ardgowan road |
| Q6 | Feedback/Comments |
| | 'We think this land should be zoned residential, not lifestyle, for the following reasons - prime reasonably flat land suitable for residential development - oamaru town is very long and narrow, growth would be better to the west rather than each end. - close to schools and town |
| Q7 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard |
| | rural lifestyle zoned land rezoned to residential |
| Q8 | Feedback/Comments |
| | 'We would like to request 62 Ardgowan Road (LOT 39 DP 402), which is over 3 ha in size is zoned residential for the following reasons - this property would suit a residential cul de sac and subdivision similar to North Ridge Drive - handy to town and schools - close to existing residential areas ie top of Eden st - currently has poor quality housing on it that degrades the area |
| Q9 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard |
| | |
| Q10 | Feedback/Comments |
| | |
| Q11 | Supporting documents? |
| | |
| Q12 | If you need more space, or have other general comments, please leave them here |
| | |

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| DDPR_feedback_0344s | |
| Name | Nick Blampied |
| Organisation | Te Pari |
| Email | nick.blampied@tepari.com |
| Response Date | Aug 24 22 09:07:42 pm |
| Notes | Nick Blampied |
| | |
| Q1 | Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on |
| | Open Space Zone |
| Q2 | In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter? |
| | Oppose |
| Q3 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: |
| | Character and amenity values of the Open Space Zone |
| Q4 | Feedback/Comments |
| | <p>'Many of the council reserves are in a poor state of repair. It seems the council has more reserves than it can adequately maintain. Regarding the Cape Wanbrow reserve, and the Glen Warren and Glen Eden reserves</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - poor fencing, gates and tracks - dead or neglected/overgrown trees - inadequate weed and pest control <p>Suggestion is to reduce the reserves area by creating a premium subdivision, and investing the profits into properly developing and landscaping the remaining reserves with the following</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - native plantings - extended walking tracks - seating & picnic areas <p>Cape Wanbrow is a wonderful asset so close to town, but is in an appalling state - weeds, rabbits and general decay. This could become an amazing recreational area, with sheltered picnic spots, bbqs and picturesque walking tracks. Likewise, Glen Eden reserve has great views from the top and established trees in the valley area, but its tracks wind through overgrown areas that feel unsafe (and have harboured criminal activity). This could also become a great walking and recreational area if properly maintained.</p> |
| Q5 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: |
| | Enabling activities and use in the Open Space Zone |
| Q6 | Feedback/Comments |
| | <p>'Suggestion to create a premium subdivision in the Glen Warren reserve to create profit to use in other recreational areas.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - join Reservoir Road with Warren St and zone this end of reserve residential - some park area would still remain - these properties would have great views and a be close to town amenities - the area currently sees a low level of recreation |
| Q7 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: |
| | |
| Q8 | Feedback/Comments |
| | |
| Q9 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: |
| | |
| Q10 | Feedback/Comments |
| | |
| Q11 | supporting documents? |

| | |
|-----|--|
| | 0 |
| Q12 | If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here |
| | |

| | | |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------|
| DDPR_feedback_0345s | | |
| | Name | Nick Blampied |
| | Organisation | Te Pari |
| | Email | nick.blampied@tepari.com |
| | Response Date | Aug 24 22 09:27:21 pm |
| | Notes | Nick Blampied |
| | | |
| Q1 | Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on | |
| | Infrastructure | |
| Q2 | In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter? | |
| | Oppose | |
| Q3 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | Transport network | |
| Q4 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | Stop spending money on putting in new speed humps (like in Reed st) and spend the money on fixing potholes and uneven surfaces all over town. | |
| Q5 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | Transport network | |
| Q6 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | Continue Homestead Road to join with Reservoir Road. In the plan there is a cycle way here, this needs to be a road to connect areas. The Ardgowan Road and Homestead Roads areas are a natural area for town growth. | |
| Q7 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | Transport network | |
| Q8 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | Put a walking/cycling track along Ardgowan road, for recreational use and also to allow Students to walk or cycle to Ardgowan school safely. | |
| Q9 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | | |
| Q10 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | | |
| Q11 | supporting documents? | |
| | 0 | |
| Q12 | If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here | |
| | | |