DDPR_feedback_0298s		
	Name	Marcelo Carena
	Organisation	North Dakota State University
	Email	[redacted]
	Response Date	Aug 11 22 11:12:39 am
	Notes	mcarena
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Open Space Zone	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Strongly oppose	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard	
	Purpose and design of OSZ as these are already Reserves	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	I am very surprised about the change of Council managed reserves to a new category = Open Space Zone (OSZ). Some residents have invested to live permanently in front of reserves for privacy and open views. This change reduces resident and ratepayers democratic rights, not even having to request consent. Residents need to be consulted and a majority needs to agree considering the preservation of reserves for future generations. The purpose of an Open Space Zone (OSZ) is against residents living permanently or temporary. Ancillary commercial activities, parks management facilities and furniture, community facilities and educational facilities would reduce the value, privacy, and unobstructed view of current properties.	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard	
	OSZ - P1	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
	Buildings and structures are opposite to the nature of an open zone currently reserve	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard	
	OSZ - P2	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
	Hard or soft surfaces like trails or integrating new buildings or facilities defies the purpose, especially near Outstanding Natural Landscapes (e.g. Lake Ohau Mckinnon Reserve)	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard	
	OSZ - P3	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
	Commercial activities is the worst possible proposal you could have for remote locations without commercial activities. Please, differentiate between twons and remote villages in the district. Reserves in the Lake Ohau area should stay as reserve to keep it suniqueness. Otherwise, you will make the mistake the Mackenzie District Council did for Lake Tekapo	
Q11	Supporting documents?	
Q12	If you need more space, or have other general comments, please leave them here	

DDPR_feedback_0302s		
	Name	Marcelo Carena
	Organisation	North Dakota State University
	Email	[redacted]
	Response Date	Aug 14 22 09:42:09 am
	Notes	mcarena
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Natural Features and Landscapes	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Strongly support	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	ONL-01	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	Like many others these are areas in the Mackenzie Basin deserving protection for current and future generations. ONLs have been included to protect pristine and highly natural landscapes. The view is supported by the fact that these landscapes are unique not only nationally but also worldwide. They are so valuable that they are very sensitive to further development. The full draft presents tables for each ONL proposed. Three columns identify, describe, and assess inherent values to each ONL. The fourth and final column describes a list of threats to each ONL values. Values were often identified to be threatened by road extensions to increase recreation into valleys, overuse and pollution of lakes, increased tourist helicopter ventures diminishing the current peaceful atmosphere, overt development of shoreline, spread of residential developments and subdivisions, exotic afforestation, and further scarring from utility infrastructure and platforms that would diminish the sense of naturalness in the landforms. The list is extensive but misses to provide solutions to mitigate or control threats to ONLs. Support for electric jet boats, for instance, could be a great solution to the significant noise and pollution fuel boats cause. I have a video on the noise that ONLY one jet boat makes at the end of the lake while being on the other side of the lake. These and jet skis should be banned for fuel and noise. Also, prevention is needed to avoid what is happening in other country regions. For instance, residents in Waiheke Island are tired of the number of helicopters flying over their own houses. With the international borders re-opened it would be good to know the balance between economic benefits from tourism and the wellbeing of permanent residents. You should protect the Waitaki District residence experience more than the visitor's experience. Please, add a list mitigating all threats for each ONL proposed, making tough decisions for visitors. Otherwise, I can see in just one generation not being able to safely swim and fish in this lake. Also, I do recommend you work with the Mackenzie District Council to extend the ONL to eastern shores. Otherwise, the efforts will be in vane.	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	

Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here

DDPR_feedback_0303s		
	Name	Marcelo Carena
	Organisation	North Dakota State University
	Email	[redacted]
	Response Date	Aug 14 22 09:56:48 am
	Notes	mcarena
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Subdivision	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Oppose	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	SUB-S1	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	Subdivision to 300m2 is against the value and purpose of the Lake Ohau Village. Most sections have a minimum of 500 to 600m2 with one house on it. Having more dwellings will intensify the number of building in a wildfire prone area, in addition to increasing shade to boundaries and reducing the amount of native vegetation. It will also increase the amound of wastewater that would need to be managed from the Council in this remote place. If you still want to subdivide the village I will only recommend doing so in the largest sections of 1500 or 1600m2, you could put 500m2 as minimum rather than 600m2. Also, I do recommend the rural sections around the village to be kept at 20 has to keep the uniqueness of this place. Permanent residents do not want more holiday homes, just more permanent residents.	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	

DDPR_feedback_0317s		
	Name	Marcelo Carena
	Organisation	North Dakota State University
	Email	[redacted]
	Response Date	Aug 20 22 11:21:07 am
	Notes	mcarena
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Infrastructure	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Strongly oppose	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	Waitaki Whitestone Geopark	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	This designation makes the Council accountable for any infrastructure utilised in future development and how partners like might impact our unique outstanding natural landscape areas. I do recommend investigating the experience other UNESCO Heritage and GeoPark World sites have been affected by excessive tourism and infrastructure, even the poor reputation with UNESCO after being stripped from recognition. I encourage to be careful with this initiative tat was supposed to be grassroots initiated. Think ho your ~20,000 residents would react if development is excessive and how our water organisations would react if these are polluted by the impact of visitors. Think about the resident experience more than the visitor experience.	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	

DDPR_feedback_0338s		
	Name	Marcelo Carena
	Organisation	North Dakota State University
	Email	[redacted]
	Response Date	Aug 24 22 08:45:54 pm
	Notes	mcarena
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Public Access	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Oppose	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	Camping Areas	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	Wildfire prone areas should have a 'no fire' sign in every single camping zone	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	

DDPR_feedback_0339s		
	Name	Marcelo Carena
	Organisation	North Dakota State University
	Email	[redacted]
	Response Date	Aug 24 22 08:49:07 pm
	Notes	mcarena
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Activities on the Surface of Water	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Oppose	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	Boating Access - Mitigation of Risks and Enforcement in Outstanding Natural Lanscapes like ONL - 01	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	Jet boats and jet skis should be banned or only allowed if their source of power is electrical to reduce noise and pollution	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	

DDPR_feedback_0340s		
	Name	Marcelo Carena
	Organisation	North Dakota State University
	Email	[redacted]
	Response Date	Aug 24 22 08:54:29 pm
	Notes	mcarena
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Noise	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Strongly oppose	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	ONL-01	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	Risk mitigation for use of 4WD tours, boats, planes, and helicopters should be seriously considered and regulated, especially if UNESCO GeoPark is approved. Currently, ONL-01 is quiet for residents and visitors except for a few jetboats and helicopters. Noise and water pollution could destroy this ONL in just one generation if the number of tourists increases as intended by former Council CEO	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	

DDPR_feedback_0341s		
	Name	Marcelo Carena
	Organisation	North Dakota State University
	Email	[redacted]
	Response Date	Aug 24 22 08:59:06 pm
	Notes	mcarena
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Infrastructure	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Strongly oppose	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	ONL-01	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	The massive water infrastructure proposed on McKinnon Reserve is not needed for the small Lake Ohau Alpine Village. There are only about 30 permanent residents in very few houses and the demand for water is very small. The current gravity fed system is working fine while the infrastructure proposed will create significant noise and scenery pollution	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	

DDPR_feedback_0342s		
	Name	Marcelo Carena
	Organisation	North Dakota State University
	Email	[redacted]
	Response Date	Aug 24 22 09:02:11 pm
	Notes	mcarena
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Light	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Strongly oppose	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	ONL-01 and Lake Ohau Village	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	Light should be reduced as much as possible as it is currently one of the best dark sky places in the Mackenzie Basin. Lamp posts in the village should be replaced by only road reflectors, there is no need for lamp posts in the village.	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	

DDPR_feedback_0343s		
	Name	Marcelo Carena
	Organisation	North Dakota State University
	Email	[redacted]
	Response Date	Aug 24 22 09:05:02 pm
	Notes	mcarena
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Signs	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Agree	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	Fire Historic Sign	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	A historic sign on our worst wildfire in NZ's histroy should be in place to alarm visitors of wildfire prone areas	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	