

DDPR_feedback_0254s	
Name	James Glucksman
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Jul 10 22 01:18:01 pm
Notes	jlg84
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Light
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Strongly support
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	LIGHT-P2
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	I strongly support any moves the Council makes toward reducing light pollution and enforcing rules to limit artificial light to no more than is necessary to ensure safety for the users of such light. Moves to dim street lighting late at night and early in the morning are an excellent start, as is restricting light glare, and ensuring that lighting, where installed, sheds light only where it is needed, not where it is not.
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q6	Feedback/Comments
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here

DDPR_feedback_0255s	
Name	James Glucksman
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Jul 10 22 01:21:04 pm
Notes	jlj84
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Coastal Environment
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Strongly support
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	CE-01, CE-02, CE-03
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	As new owners of a section of land that is right within the area covered by this chapter, we strongly support moves to ensure that the coastal environment is not just maintained, but enhanced. We have endeavoured to plant native trees along the coast to offer additional scenic beauty and also to provide additional safeguards against erosion, which is a concern all along the coast. We would like to see others do similar things to keep the coast pristine and beautiful for many generations to come.
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q6	Feedback/Comments
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here

DDPR_feedback_0312s	
Name	James Glucksman
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 19 22 10:55:55 am
Notes	jlg84
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Neutral
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	Earthworks provisions under the guideline
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	I am supportive of efforts to preserve and maintain areas of importance to Maori (or indeed to any people with a connection to the land), however I am not sure I understand what the obligations of both parties to one another are as regards earthworks that a landowner may wish to conduct in an area designated as part of wahi tupuna. Is there a requirement that the iwi or the runaka respond within a certain timeframe to requests for permission to conduct such activities? In my experience, responsiveness has not been a hallmark of the iwi's relationship with outsiders, so I would like there to be some framework under which they are required to work with applicants for consent, just as there is a requirement for applicants to seek their consent.
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q6	Feedback/Comments
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here