

DDPR_feedback_0400s	
Name	Nicola Neal
Organisation	
Email	
Response Date	Aug 30 22 08:53:44 pm
Notes	Anatini1
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Oppose
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard
	SASM-01
Q4	Feedback/Comments
	<p>Approximately 18 months ago, our whanau purchased a 40ha small farm near Duntroon. We chose this property because it had a mixture of highly productive class 3 soils, with existing irrigation infrastructure. In balance to this, is over 14 ha of protected wetlands and rock outcrops, already placed in QEII covenant. Our whanau was super excited about the opportunity to use the productive farming land effectively, and use the funds from that to further enhance the amazing features of this property. We have shared our vision of working to continue wetland restoration and develop a functional mahika kai site with local iwi. We have independently engaged with tuhituhi nehera experts to find out how to best look after the precious taonga which are present on our land. We are working with QEII to continue to meet their objectives for our property. We have already begun re-vegetation projects and removal of wilding conifer species on the property. All of these activities have significant cost associated with them.</p> <p>SASM 013 overlay covers approximately 80% of our property and includes almost all of the productive farming land. We are extremely concerned, that the requirement of complete co-governance with mana whenua and restrictions placed on our property in regards to this, will reduce any opportunity for further increase productivity from the high quality soils. Under SASM01, will mana whenua also contribute to the ongoing costs, upkeep and mahi required to maintain and develop a site like this? Will kaitiakitaka over this land include sharing in its costs? How will we reasonably fund this ongoing work and development so that we can all share in it, if restrictions prevent us from maximising future productivity from the arable parts of the farm? Until this can be clarified, we do not support this objective.</p>
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard
	SASM04
Q6	Feedback/Comments
	Please refer earlier comments to SASM01. We support the development of mahika kai sites and can see huge opportunity on our own property to do so, but are concerned around how this will be funded in a co-governance model. Until this can be clarified, we do not support this objective.
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard
	SASM-P1
Q8	Feedback/Comments
	We strongly feel that there needs to be much greater communication to landowners around the specific reasons and criteria for inclusion in the SASM zones. As a Kai Tahu whanui, we have a vested interest in preserving and enhancing these sites, and even we feel that these korero are not being adequately shared with landowners. As landowners who are expected to protect and share these sites, yet are not currently privy to the korero around our specific sites. Landowner engagement on this will be greatly enhanced if we can share in these stories, and make them part of our story.
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard
	SASM-R8
Q10	Feedback/Comments
	Nāu te rourou, nāku te rourou, ka ora te iwi - with your food basket, and mine, we will flourish. We keep this whakatauki in the front of our mind when we address this rule. SASM-R8 will not allow for further increasing in productivity from this high class soil farming area - effectively 'freezing in time' what farming practice is possible. This is a huge mistake in a rapidly changing social environment with a growing changing population and a need and desire for local, ethical, sustainably primary produce. By restricting any changes to farming type in this way, we will fail to capitalize on future advances in science which may

	<p>allow us to produce better produce, in a way that still protects the environment. While resource consent can be applied for to complete these activities, the onerous costs and compliance of doing this will likely prevent this being cost effective.</p> <p>We suggest that change must still be allowed, but using good governance to prevent these from causing unintended damage to our ecosystems, which absorb change slowly.</p>
Q11	Supporting documents?
Q12	If you need more space, or have other general comments, please leave them here
	SASM-R15: We strongly support this rule.

DDPR_feedback_0401s		
	Name	Nicola Neal
	Organisation	
	Email	
	Response Date	Aug 30 22 08:56:03 pm
	Notes	Anatini1
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Oppose	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	ECO - R6	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	We wish to challenge several of the boundaries for the ONF and SNA on our property (Assessment 118788) . These mainly affect areas not immediately including limestone outcrops, wetlands or features, and which are currently in productive pasture species. In the future if these grassed areas are not able to maintained with regrassing, they will become unproductive and allow introduced pest plants such as gorse and broom to invade.	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	

DDPR_feedback_0402s		
	Name	Nicola Neal
	Organisation	
	Email	
	Response Date	Aug 30 22 08:58:38 pm
	Notes	Anatini1
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Natural Features and Landscapes	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Oppose	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	NFL-P1	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	We wish to challenge several of the boundaries for the ONF and SNA on our property (Assessment 118788) . These mainly affect areas not immediately including limestone outcrops, wetlands or features, and which are currently in productive pasture species.	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	