

| | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| DDPR_feedback_0259s | | |
| | Name | Ed Aubrey |
| | Organisation | |
| | Email | |
| | Response Date | Jul 13 22 04:02:58 pm |
| | Notes | Ed Aubrey |
| | | |
| Q1 | Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on | |
| | Zoning | |
| Q2 | In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter? | |
| | Strongly oppose | |
| Q3 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | ONL-07 | |
| Q4 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | <p>I strongly oppose the zone changes in the Lindis (Longslip Creek) Valley. Currently there areas zoned as Rural Scenic which are are developed as part of our farm (Dalrachney Station) that are integral to the viability of our business. These areas are small compared the remainder of the property which is already zoned ONL.</p> <p>The future of our property and landscape is very important to us and their best interests are forefront of any decisions we make and see no need for any zone changes.</p> <p>I am very concerned a zoning change to ONL in these small parcels of RS may have an adverse affect on our ability to farm in the future.</p> <p>I can see zone changes having a very negative impact on the Omarama Community as a whole in the future.</p> | |
| Q5 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | | |
| Q6 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | | |
| Q7 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | | |
| Q8 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | | |
| Q9 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: | |
| | | |
| Q10 | Feedback/Comments | |
| | | |
| Q11 | supporting documents? | |
| | 0 | |
| Q12 | If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here | |
| | | |

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| DDPR_feedback_0260s | |
| Name | Ed Aubrey |
| Organisation | |
| Email | |
| Response Date | Jul 13 22 04:13:24 pm |
| Notes | Ed Aubrey |
| | |
| Q1 | Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on |
| | Earthworks |
| Q2 | In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter? |
| | Oppose |
| Q3 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: |
| | NFL- R4 PER -3 |
| Q4 | Feedback/Comments |
| | I understand that it is proposed that farm tracks 900 m ASL can not be maintained. I strongly oppose this. We have some track on our farm above 900m that are integral to it's operation and for the tracks not to be maintained poses serious Health and Safety concerns for ourselves, staff and contractors. Established tracks should be able to be maintained. |
| Q5 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: |
| | |
| Q6 | Feedback/Comments |
| | |
| Q7 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: |
| | |
| Q8 | Feedback/Comments |
| | |
| Q9 | Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference: |
| | |
| Q10 | Feedback/Comments |
| | |
| Q11 | supporting documents? |
| | 0 |
| Q12 | If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here |
| | |