

DDPR_feedback_0006s	
Name	Brent Cowles
Organisation	Managing Director Otematata Eatery, Bar & Lodging Otematata Holiday Park & Lodge Lakeside Apartments
Email	Brent Cowles
Response Date	Jul 6 22
Notes	
Q 1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
	Historic Heritage
Q 2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
	Supportive
Q 3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
	Heritage item HH114
Q 4	Feedback/Comments
Q 5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 6	Feedback/Comments
Q 7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 8	Feedback/Comments
Q 9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q 10	Feedback/Comments
Q 11	supporting documents?
	0
Q 12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here
	From: Brent Cowles Sent: Thursday, 7 July 2022 10:49 am To: Rachael Bason Cc: Heather Bauchop Subject: Re: Heritage setting around Historic Heritage Item HH 114 [THIS EMAIL IS FROM AN EXTERNAL SOURCE] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognise the sender email address and know the content is safe.

[cid:122070708492400801@au-mta-5.au.mimecast.lan] Thanks Rachael, That's very helpful. With that in mind we are happy with the new proposed designation. Thanks for your quick response and help. Kind regards, Brent

From: Rachael Bason Date: Wednesday, 6 July 2022 at 1:22 PM To: Brent Cowles Cc: Heather Bauchop Subject: RE: Heritage setting around Historic Heritage Item HH 114 Hi Brent, I've attached the chapter for Historic Heritage Items so that you can take a read. The rules for the setting HH-R5 mean that any new permanent buildings would require a resource consent. The rule would also require consent for any new areas of car parking, given its already carpark, this is not likely to be relevant in this case.

<https://www.waitaki.govt.nz/files/assets/public/files/our-services/planning-and-resource-consents/draft-district-plan/historical-and-cultural-values/historic-heritage-chapter.pdf>

[<https://protect-au.mimecast.com/s/Tpf8C3QNqYHWkY9Cg2F9M?domain=waitaki.govt.nz>]

The intention of the settings is not to restrict any maintenance or repairs of other non-listed buildings or structures like fencing that already exist inside of the setting – perhaps this could be clearer, and it might be something you want to provide feedback on. I've provided a link to where you need to go on the website to give your feedback online. Waitaki District Council Draft District Plan | Let's Talk Waitaki

[<https://www.letstalk.waitaki.govt.nz/draft-district-plan>] I hope that helps

clarify things for you. Kind regards, Rachael Bason From: Brent Cowles Sent: Wednesday, 6 July 2022 12:35 pm To: Rachael Bason Cc:

Heather Bauchop Subject: Re: Heritage setting around Historic Heritage Item HH 114 [THIS EMAIL IS FROM AN EXTERNAL SOURCE] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognise the sender email address and know the content is safe.

[cid:image002.png@01D89135.A99DB990] Thank you. Can I just clarify what the "setting" area means. What are the restrictions etc? The fences around this building are falling down, there has been other building erected beside it etc. What can and cant we do in that area as we wish to give it a good tidy up to ensure the building is a bit of a focal point. Kind regards, Brent Cowles
Managing Director Otematata Eatery, Bar & Lodging Otematata Holiday Park & Lodge

[REDACTED]

DDPR_feedback_0249s		
	Name	Brent Cowles
	Organisation	
	Email	
	Response Date	Jul 04 22 05:52:53 pm
	Notes	brent6673
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
	Historic Heritage	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
	Strongly oppose	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
	Heritage Item HH114 - Heritage Item Setting.	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
	Looking at the setting it seems like a large area that also cuts through the middle of a building that is not part of the heritage item. We are in full support of taking care of and restoring the building but the surrounding car park area and what is classed as the setting seems excessive. We would like to have this revised and in consultation have a more suitable setting area designated. We are due to settle on the property on Monday 11 July 2022 and have plans to restore the heritage item.	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	