

DDPR_feedback_0225s	
Name	P. deReus
Organisation	
Email	P deReus
Response Date	Aug 31 22
Notes	
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q4	Feedback/Comments
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q6	Feedback/Comments
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q8	Feedback/Comments
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:
Q10	Feedback/Comments
Q11	supporting documents?
	0
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here

District Plan 2022

31/8/2022

The first point of concern to me is the statement that Rate payers are "customers", this is untrue as Rate Payers pay for the council to work on their behalf. Tourist and visitors would be more likely to be customers.

In regard to the suggestion to only allow 1ha for lifestyle blocks and then only 20 hectare blocks this I do not agree with as why make more constraints on peoples freedom to choose larger than 1 hectare blocks.

Climate change will allways be with us, instead of listening to the pressure groups about climate change being caused by mans actions.

Instead of over reacting and causing an unnecessary burdon on the local community, be a lot more conservative and keep costs down as many of the suggested changes are not sustainable.

A last comment about roading. I see that many roads have been made unsafe for pedestrian traffic with very steep road edges being made to improve drainage, but this has made it unsafe for people walking in rural areas that are close to town such as Stonewall Road. Please consider people walking as the Road edges are unsafe for walkers what ever the speed Limit is.

Yours Faithfully P. de Reus

