

DDPR_feedback_0230s		
	Name	Neville Bartrum
	Organisation	
	Email	Neville Bartrum
	Response Date	
	Notes	
Q1	Select the chapter you want to provide feedback on	
Q2	In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	
Q3	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q4	Feedback/Comments	
Q5	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q6	Feedback/Comments	
Q7	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q8	Feedback/Comments	
Q9	Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard reference:	
Q10	Feedback/Comments	
Q11	supporting documents?	
	0	
Q12	If you need more space, or have any other general comments, please leave them here	

# Draft District Plan FEEDBACK FORM

scan for online  
feedback form

Have  
your say



Please get your feedback in by  
5pm on Wednesday 31 August 2022



## Save time - Do it online!

Fill in our online feedback form: [www.waitaki.govt.nz/district-plan-review/have-your-say](http://www.waitaki.govt.nz/district-plan-review/have-your-say)  
- you can upload any extra documents and attachments there. Or:

- Email:** planreview@waitaki.govt.nz  
**Post:** Draft District Plan Feedback  
Waitaki District Council, Private Bag 50058, Ōamaru 9444  
**Deliver:** Drop it off at any Council office or district library

Thank you for taking the time to comment on the Draft Waitaki District Plan. We welcome your feedback. Your feedback will be used to inform what goes into the next stage of the District Plan Review process, which will be the formal notification of the Proposed District Plan.

### Points to remember when providing your feedback:

1. For your feedback to be considered you must include your full name, and a postal address or email address.
2. You are welcome to submit multiple feedback forms and add in any attachments if you have lots to tell us. Please make sure your feedback is provided under the relevant chapter heading. This helps us to make sure that we are considering your feedback correctly.
3. All feedback, including your name, are considered public under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act and may be published and made available to elected members and the public. Private contact details will not be made public.
4. If you would like help to fill out the feedback form, please contact one of our support team on 03 433 1661 or email planreview@waitaki.govt.nz

### Your contact details: (please print clearly)

Full name: Neville Barton Submitted on 31/8/22  
Organisation (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_  
Street address: \_\_\_\_\_ Avon st Town: Ōamaru  
Email: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

We are asking the following questions to understand more about who we are hearing from - these questions are completely optional.

Age (optional)  Under 18  18-24  25 - 49  50-64  65+

#### Ethnicity (optional)

Māori  Pacific Island  NZ European/Pakeha  other \_\_\_\_\_

#### Do you

Live in Waitaki  Live elsewhere in NZ  Live overseas

# Feedback on the Draft District Plan

Please provide your feedback on as many of the draft chapters that you would like to. If you run out of space, feel free to submit additional surveys or attachments.

## Which Chapter are you commenting on?

Name of Chapter *General Residential, Notable Trees, Public Access, Natural Features, Coastal Environments*

In general, to what extent do you support the contents of this chapter?	Strongly support	Support	Neutral	Oppose	Strongly oppose
	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

## Is there a particular objective, policy or rule you would like to provide feedback on for this chapter?

Please use the space below to identify which provision you are providing feedback on. Please use a separate box for each provision. If you run out of space, please use the 'General Feedback' section at the end of the feedback form or attach more pages - but please remember to let us know which chapter AND provision you are commenting on.

Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard ref: .....

Comments: .....

Objective/Policy/Rule/Standard ref: .....

Comments: .....

## General feedback

*Thank you for reading and taking note of my submissions.*

*Please see 4 pages attached on the above 5 Chapter topics*



Need more room? You can add extra pages if there is not enough space on this form.

Phone District Plan hotline: 03 433 1661  
Email [planreview@waitaki.govt.nz](mailto:planreview@waitaki.govt.nz)  
Office 20 Thames Street,  
Private Bag 50058, Oamaru 9444

    
[www.waitaki.govt.nz/  
district-plan-review](http://www.waitaki.govt.nz/district-plan-review)

 **Waitaki**  
DISTRICT COUNCIL  
TE KAUNIHERA A ROHE O WAITAKI

# Waikato Draft District Plan

Submissions

From, N. Bartrum

4 pages attached

## General Residential Zone GRZ.

1/ To ensure "well functioning urban residential environments," that "provide ample private open space, with minimal adverse effects on existing and future residents amenity", to quote your chapter summary, I am adamantly opposed to any allowance of high-rise developments. Such high-rise would severely impose on the Sunlight, privacy, fresh-air, quiet and peace, property value and views and outlook, of existing properties, once they became dominated by overlooming neighbours, or even surrounded by them.

I'd propose a maximum of 2 storey only development.

2/ Also, to safeguard the above quoted beneficial values, I am also opposed to any High density subdivision (in-fill housing), where the number of units may impose effects, of a deleterious nature, to the values as mentioned above. To be acceptable, all such developments must only be allowed if it can be shown they do not impinge on the Privacy, sunlight, fresh-air, peace and quiet, views and outlook in any adverse manner.

3/ To comply with quote "a minimum permeable surface area (25%) to allow stormwater effects", then not allowing building within close margins to boundaries will help to ensure this; as well as maintain the "privacy", and, "peace and quiet" desirable characters as mentioned above.

4/ Oamaru is, and has always been, low rise and low density. This is one of our significant lifestyle benefits. People live here not just to work, but choose here for this style of townscape. "Coronation St" style may be fine for those that choose such; Oamaruans deliberately choose not. Those happy in High-rise apartments migrate cityward. We can have low-style apartments, in keeping with our existing character.

From N. Bartrum

Notable Trees

Outside my house, 15 Avon st, (corner of Tyne), is an large and old horse - chestnut.

This was planted on one side of the gate, a post being (then) on the other side. This was in 1908 or 1909, by my Grandfather.

Over the ensuing years, the road, footpath, and guttering were then formally laid out, and established where there was once only a rough unsealed track along Avon st. The boundary was properly marked, and fenced, and the tree ended up "outside" on the footpath. Many decades ago now.

This tree is appreciated by many residents of the area for its amenity values of beauty. Being particularly so when in Spring it is covered in florets of creamy-white scented flowers. Autumn brings its crop of chestnuts, beautiful and glossy with their rich red-brown hues when broken open.

Visitors, and local children delight in these playthings.

The only local resident who is not appreciative of this tree is Old Mrs M'Dermott, directly opposite. She complains of leaves on the ground in Autumn. However, she is also 'dorm' on her neighbours shrubs all year around! (At 96 it may be just irracibility/dementia).

Everyone else express their liking of this tree. As do I for its amenity values, as well as its Familial ties; though those latter are personal to me of course.

Is my Grandfather's tree still on the roll of notables?

I understand it was given that status about 30 years ago. As Allan Gray, parks officer at the time, told me so. I'd hope so.

As it pre-dates even the memorial Scott Oak in Arun street. I would appreciate a note advising me of its continued status as an "protected" tree.

My Father told me the History of this tree. As repeated also by his nephew, Brian Bartrum, who is now in his 80's.

From N. Bartram

### Public Access

Quoting your Chapter summary,

"Coastline (and significant waterbodies) provide important recreational opportunities for the local community and general public."

"Public access in its own right... is achieved via esplanade reserves, esplanade strips, and access strips"

"The maintenance and enhancement of public access is given greater emphasis through the objectives and policies."

As the proposed Forrester Heights subdivision would significantly curtail the recreational activities by removing this piece of land to public access and use; and also curtail much of the link to the whole Cape area from the Harbour, as well as detract from the amenity value and recreational outlook of the non-developed remaining reserve, to be in accord with your above quoted objectives towards enhanced public access to the Cape and the adjacent coastline, this Forrester Heights development should not proceed.

### Natural Features and Landscapes.

Point 1, Outstanding National Features, Point 2, Rural Scenic landscapes and significant natural features.

Whilst Cape Wainbow may be located in town, its Rural-like aspect call for it to be treated as a Rural area as far as any proposed high-density, urban style developments may arise.

The reserves, beaches, Geology - which is of International significance, and low density rural uses, as at present, should be maintained. Any high-density or non-"rural residential", so called "lifestyle blocks" should be prohibited. With the exception of the existing housing areas already in use around the reservoir down to Lookout point; and new developments along Hakunui Beach road toward the Golf-course from town. This will preserve the scenic, and rural "open vistas" and non built-up outlook at present.

To preserve these values, also Forrester Heights should not proceed.

The Whole Cape is a "Significant Natural Feature" and should be treated as such, and not be "eaten up" piecemeal.

The existing integrity being preserved.

Coastal Environment. From N. Bartrum

Quoting your chapter summary, "Certain activities in the Coastal environment would be able to be undertaken without the need for a resource consent. They include planting indigenous vegetation, amenity planting, ... clearance of non-indigenous vegetation ..."

It goes on to state, "A key objective of the chapter is to preserve and improve the natural character of the coastal environment..."

To this end, the "Friends of The Harbour" group, and "Forest and Bird org" have undertaken much voluntary work making enhancements in accordance with the first sentence quoted above. This improving of the Cape Wainbrow Reserve, as well as the contentious Forrester Heights area, have precedents in the good works achieved in the past at Bushy Beach. Other scenic and rural areas have also been enhanced by the good efforts of volunteer groups. Duntroon Wetlands, Shag River, Devils Bridge, Camp Iona reserve are only a few that come to mind. Elsewhere, Lions and Rotary have done sterling service similarly. Look out point is one, and adjacent to Cape enhancements.

In accordance with this Chapter objectives, I ask that the WDC encourage this community spirit and action by all groups, and not condemn the 'Forest & Bird' or the 'Harbour Friends' for the work on the Cape, as reported in The Oamaru Mail in the past year. The good precedence of happy acceptance of community effort should continue

Continuing the quote,

"... ensure that subdivision, use, and development does not increase the risk from natural hazards."

If Forrester Heights were to proceed as an housing area, rather than be treated as a recreational reserve - enhanced by volunteers as above, in a minimal impact, no cost to the Council manner, then that housing subdivision will cause a great risk to the coastal environment from natural hazards. The whole area is riddled with under-runners. Every rain event brings clays down into the harbor. Presently, there is a new and large cavity on Test st. Development risks catastrophic landslides. Ratepayers / WDC risk great liabilities. As do councillors, in accordance with new govt directives concerning responsibility for decisions taken; under the current reviews of how local government acts.